

Holden Aust
1339 16th AVE APT 2
San Francisco CA 94122

Sep 4th 2018

Via ECFS
Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: In the Matter of Petition of USTelecom for Forbearance Pursuant to
47 U.S.C. Section 160(c); WC Docket No. 18-141; Category 1**

Dear FCC,

I chose a local provider (Sonic.com) for my Internet connection. Even in a city like San Francisco, there are only a couple of choices for broadband Internet connectivity and most of them operate more like a cartel than like competitive suppliers.

Both of my alternative suppliers (AT&T and Comcast) offer slower, more expensive connections and they try to force you to sign up for television and phone service which I neither need nor want.

Sonic was the first to offer Gigabit Fiber Internet in my neighborhood. I signed up as soon as it became available and I have been very happy with their service. Sonic is cheaper and faster than either AT&T or Comcast and they also aren't trying to increase the cost of my service every time I renew. In addition their customer support is far superior to AT&T or Comcast.

AT&T and Comcast have both demonstrated that they are more interested in generating profits for their shareholders and executives than they are in providing high-quality inexpensive broadband service so the idea that the FCC would modify regulations to deny American citizens access to Sonic's superior service is outrageous.

Who do you represent - the citizens of America or the big telecommunications quasi-monopoly?

Is your goal to increase competition or protect monopolies?

Holden Aust